

From: Karen
Sent: Mon, 7 Jun 2021 13:09:15 -0400
To: T9PublicHearing
Cc: Karen Merwin
Subject: Written Comments for T9 Public hearing by Commenter D8NNGGPS96P
Attachments: Written Comments for T9 Public hearing by Commenter D8NNGGPS96P

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

From: Karen
Sent: Mon, 7 Jun 2021 13:09:15 -0400
To: T9PublicHearing
Cc: Karen Merwin
Subject: Written Comments for T9 Public hearing by Commenter D8NNGGPS96P
Attachments: OCR JUNE 7 2021.docx

My name is Karen. I am the parent of a male student who filed an OCR complaint 7 years ago alleging Title IX gender discrimination.

The case is still-active-today.

My son is a male who identifies as a male. He was raped, by a female student, while he was blacked-out and unable to consent.

His claim was first ignored by the university, and now sits with the OCR, who, for the *past -7-years* has chosen to not prioritize and fairly conclude this case.

This is "straight male" gender discrimination by the OCR. The gender discrimination your office claims to fight, is the very discrimination you are doing.

Females CAN rape males, and they DO. It's time the narrative changes about who rapes whom.

The university followed the current narrative and believed the female, yet the clear evidence (including photographic and eyewitness) supported the male. The male was fully incapacitated, unable to consent, yet the university never investigated his claim, and he was the student expelled.

He was seen by many others while he was naked, passed out on his back, with his arms laying *limp* by his side, while the FEMALE was seen moving UP and DOWN on top of him with her hands *pressing* on his chest. He woke up the next morning with hickies plastered all over his neck.

But let's reverse the scenario, and I ask you to *just imagine* the following instead occurred:

SHE was seen by many others fully incapacitated and unable to consent, laying naked, passed out on her back, with her arms laying *limp* by her side, while HE was seen moving UP and DOWN on top of her, with HIS hands *pressing* on HER chest.

If this was the case, expulsion from the university would have been the least of his problems. He would likely be in prison.

The FEMALE student was NOT denied her right to an education. SHE graduated with no repercussions. On the other hand, the MALE student was denied the right to complete his education and to pursue his chosen career path.

This-is-Title IX-discrimination, which, as the OCR, YOU-ARE-TO-ENFORCE.

Why are you not?

You are having this week-long session to hear ideas on what needs to change. I say, new policies, rules and regulations won't fix the problem. Enforcing the ones you have, more likely WILL.

OCR is to be a fair enforcer of law. Not a discriminator.

Using a math term, the constant variable in this mess we have, is the OCR. My son was first wronged by the female who sexually assaulted him. Then he was wronged by the University who ignored, discriminated against, and expelled him, denying his right to an education. And for the past *7-years*, he is being wronged by the OCR who is NOT enforcing the Title IX law.

Schools cannot be allowed to discriminate against a student, denying access to education, because of his or her gender. EVEN A STRAIGHT-MALE.

My son was discriminated against and denied his right to an education.

It's time you stop-discriminating-who-you-choose to fairly investigate discrimination claims, and enforce-your-current-regulation for ALL individual students.

We don't need more rules and regulations-just enforce the ones you have.

Thank you.